

SCQA-QCI-001-05

SUPPLIER CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE REVIEW TRAINING



Introduction

Description

This training will guide you through the process of evaluating a corrective action response. The training will discuss response content and criteria to ensure that you have the appropriate details provided in the response.

Time Required

This course should take approximately 30 minutes to complete.

Prerequisites

None.

Target Audience

This course is intended for SNA SCQA representatives and suppliers to ensure they have effective corrective action communication.



Course Content

This training consists of 3 lessons:

Lesson 1: Immediate Correction

- Define three components of Immediate Containment: Contain, Correct, and Communicate
- Identify Immediate Correction plan to contain and correct the nonconformity and communicate awareness

Lesson 2: Immediate Action

 Identify the Direct Cause and develop an Immediate Action plan to prevent it from recurring

Lesson 3: Root Cause Corrective Action

- Root Cause Statement and Root Cause Analysis (RCA) tools
- Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA) Plan Development
- Plan Implementation
- Verification of Implementation
- Verification of Effectiveness



Corrective Action Response Requirements

This guide will appear throughout the course, highlighting the applicable section being reviewed.

	PCA Leve	I / Section	-	Response Requirements	Examples:
cause Corrective Action	mediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	<i>"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc</i>
	Ē	Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews



Lesson 1

Immediate Correction (IC)

	PCA Leve	I / Section		Response Requirements	Examples:
ective Action	mediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Corr	I	Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews



Immediate Correction

Contain: Identify and isolate all nonconforming products, system data, or any process to prevent the harmful effects of the nonconformity from continuing.

Correct: Fix a detected nonconformity. This may involve reworking or scrapping and replacing the product or correcting the paperwork.

Communicate the nature of the problem to all affected parties.

	PCA Leve	/ Section	-	Response Requirements	Examples:
ective Action	mediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Corr	Ē	Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
	This docume	nt and all info	ormation contain	Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews

reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

Immediate Correction

Overview of the Major Steps

Immediate Correction (IC) is the action you take to fix a detected nonconformity. This may involve reworking or scrapping and replacing the product. Paperwork may need to be corrected.

Immediate Correction consists of these major activities:

- Validate the problem.
- Contain, Correct, and Communicate the problem (included in a supplier response).





Containment – What is it and why do we do it

Containment is action(s) taken to:

- Determine the magnitude of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation.
- Prevent growth of the problem.
- Minimize the impact on
 - > People
 - > Hardware, work in process, and product.
 - > Assets.





Containment – The Objectives

The **1**st objective of Containment is:

 The proper identification, segregation and positive control of suspect product or undesirable condition.

The **2nd objective** of Containment is:

• To prevent the unintended use or installation of suspect product(s)

The **3rd objective** of Containment is:

 The adequate communication of suspect conditions and products across the organization to ensure "like" items and "like" conditions do not impact other Programs or Operational areas.

Containment is a required element of both Product nonconformities AND Quality Management System nonconformities



Containment

Determine the extent of the nonconformity or undesirable condition and risk to similar product or processes.





Correction & Communication

Correction: As part of the Immediate Correction Plan, it must include information that ensures that the detected nonconformity has been corrected.

Communication: IC Plan should indicate how all affected and impacted parties have been notified of the nonconformity.





This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

Immediate Correction

Correction

Immediate Correction (IC):

The action you take *to fix* a detected nonconformity

- Reworking
- Scrapping and replacing the product
- You may need to correct paperwork

	PCA Leve	I / Section		Respon	nse Requirements	Examples:
rective Action	mediate Action	a te Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Sta	Problem Definition and atement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Con	Imr	Immedi		Containment (Identity so Correctio	ope and prevent further escape) In (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Immediate Ac	Identification of Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Correc Co Identification of	Root Cause Analysis tive Action Plan Development rrective Plan Implementation /erification of Implementation /leasures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Ve	rification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews

Although Containment actions are documented within the IC section of the response, they continue to occur throughout all RCCA process steps



This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

Immediate Correction Unacceptable



"N/A" does not tell the story. Immediate Correction must be addressed. The reason why containment or correction was not necessary is to be provided.

Containment should also include reviewing all possible affected similar parts, work orders, Purchase Orders, etc. Determine the extent of the problem based on the Statement of Nonconformity without restricting focus on only the items already identified.



Immediate Correction Acceptable



- 1. The described actions must detail the action(s) that were taken to initiate containment and to immediately pursue correction.
- 2. The IC Plan Implementation Date reflects the date that the supplier organization completed the task(s) as listed.



Lesson 1 Summary

In this lesson you learned:

- The definition of Immediate Correction and its components:
 - > Contain
 - > Correct
 - > Communicate
- The importance of determining the extent and risk of a nonconformity.

NORTH AMERICA

	PCA Leve	I / Section	n 🗖	Response Requirements	Examples:
ective Action	nediate Action	Immediate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Corr	Imr			Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews

This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.



Immediate Action (IA)

	PCA Leve	I / Section		Response Requirements	Examples:
rective Action	mediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Cori	Ē	Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews



Immediate Action

Overview of the Major Steps

Immediate Correction (IA) is the action you take to eliminate the cause(s) of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable condition.

Immediate Action consists of these major activities:

- Determine the Direct Cause
- Develop and implement the Immediate Action plan
- Develop and implement the Immediate Action verification plan
- Communicate to all stakeholders regarding delivery of next good product

Direct Cause is the event of action that immediately results in or proceeds the nonconformity.





Immediate Action - Identify the Direct Cause



Examine the nonconformity to identify the Direct Cause

Direct Cause:

The event or action that immediately results in or precedes the nonconformity



Immediate Action

When implementing a change to a process, the following actions should be taken:

- Document process changes (for example, instruction, job aid, or quality alert)
- Explain the reasons for the changes to all affected employees
- Inform affected employees how the changed process impacts their responsibilities

The Immediate Action must remain in effect until you have permanently incorporated the Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA) into the system, and the action has been normalized and determined to be effective.



Immediate Action Acceptable

	In	mmediate Action (IA)									
	Di	Direct Cause Statement									
	Required paperwork was not included with parts shipment.										
2	Ta	ask Description (add more lines if neo	essary)		Responsible	Dur					
	1	On June 15, 2015 the Gener Quality Manager's all met to nonconformance reported. I conditions <u>exist</u> or were deliv determined that the shipping understand the shipping req	al Operators, Shipp discuss the correcti t was determined th vered to the custom p/receiving personne uirements.	ving/Receiving and ive actions for the lat no other like er. It was el did not fully							
	2	The Shipping/Receiving Sup learning moment during shift failure. Shipping/Receiving with all department personn and understanding (O/R: Tra	, enter date.								
	3	The Shipping/Receiving Sup jointly perform 1 spot audit p term implementation was eff of customer product being sl been included with every sh	Click to enter date.								
	4					Click to enter date					
	IA	Implementation Date	15-Jun-15	Verification of Implen	nentation Date	26-Jun-15 3					

The Direct Cause Statement is short, concise, and without inconclusive statements.
 The IA Plan Tasks address the actions that were necessary to address the Direct Cause.
 The IA Plan Implementation and Verification Dates align with listed Task Descriptions.



Immediate Action Unacceptable

I	Immediate Action (IA)								
	Direct Cause Statement								
r r	Shipping and receiving personnel failed to include the shipper during release of the shipment due to his/her bad attitude toward the company and has vowed to not follow company procedures because the company fails to recognize his/her value and not compensate them fairly.								
Т	ask Description (add more lines if neo	essary)		Responsible	stable				
1	The employee was cautione	d (2)		_ unacc	eptan				
2					mple_				
3			EX	umer date.					
4	4 Click to enter date.								
ŀ	A Implementation Date	01-Jul-17	Verification of Implen	nentation Date	02-Jul-17 3				

- 1. Direct Cause Statement is narrative and not representative of the true direct cause(s).
- 2. Immediate Action(s) does not address the issue(s) as they relate to the Direct Cause(s).
- 3. The IA Plan Implementation date and Verification of Implementation date are not consistent with ensuring a realistic timeline for effective action(s) for Direct Cause resolution.



Direct Cause vs. Root Cause

Direct Cause identifies the immediate issue and is used to prevent the issue from happening again in the short term; that is, you prevent the issue from impacting operations.

Root Cause takes you further by helping you identify the systemic issues that led to the direct cause. With this process you prevent recurrence in the long term.



It is not always practical to shut down operations while you search for a root cause. Most issues will take some time for you to investigate and identify a root cause. By implementing Immediate Action, you allow operations to continue and prevent the nonconformity from recurring in the short term.

Lesson 2 Summary

In this lesson you learned:

- The definition of Direct Cause
- How to develop an Immediate Action Plan.

	PCA Leve	I / Section	-	Response Requirements	Examples:
rective Action	mediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
Cause Cor	Ē	Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed
Root				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews



Lesson 3

Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA)

PCA Level / Section				Response Requirements	Examples:
Root Cause Corrective Action	Immediate Action	iate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc
		Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition) Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews



This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

Root Cause

Root Cause Defined

The root cause statement shall be a statement of fact (or facts if there are multiple root causes) and must address basic systemic issue(s) without any obvious embedded "why" questions.





Root Cause

Now that you have completed your Immediate Actions, you can use your Direct Cause as your starting point for your Root Cause Analysis

Immediate Correction and Immediate Action

Root Cause Corrective Action





Root Cause Analysis

Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Defined

Utilization of one or more RCA tools is a necessary part of the process and identification required in the RCCA response. Available tools include but are not limited to:

- Brainstorming
- Cause and Effect Analysis (Fishbone)
- Fault Tree Analysis
- Time Line
- 5-Why
- Process Analysis

<u>Note</u>: It is strongly recommended that you take additional training to gain a better understanding of these and other Corrective Action tools and their applications.



Root Cause Statement Unacceptable

Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA)						
RCCA Team Members						
Name	Function / Title (add more lines if necessary)					
	Ship/Rec Manager					
	Operations Manager					
	General Manager					
	Quality Manager					
RCCA Methodology (Select	all applicable)					
🗖 Brainstorming 🗖 Pa	eto 🗖 Flowchart 🗖 Fishbone 🗹 5 Why's 🗖 Correlation Chart 🔲 Other					
Root Cause Statement						
Shipping and Receiving personnel did not include the shipper in the deliverable to the customer.						

 The Root Cause Statement does not address the Root Cause of the problem(s) based on the Statement of Nonconformity and is a restatement of the Direct Cause Statement.



Root Cause Statement Acceptable

Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA)						
RCCA Team Members	010					
Name	Function / Title (add more lines if necess	ary)				
John, Doe	General Manager					
Johnny, Begood	Quality Manager					
Suzy, Q	Ship/Rec. Man.					
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
RCCA Methodology (Select all app	licable)					
🗆 Brainstorming 🗖 Pareto	🗆 Flowchart 🛛 🗖 Fishbone 🗹 5 Why's	Correlation Chart D Other				
Root Cause Statement						
The current procedure does not provide enough clarity to ensure compliance of the process.						

1. The Root Cause Statement is short and concise. It is also free of narrative or distractive statements.



RCCA Plan Development

A RCCA Plan incorporates the identified solution(s) into a Corrective Action Plan that eliminates or mitigates the Root Cause(s).

<u>Note</u>: It is strongly recommended that you take additional training to gain a better understanding of other Corrective Action tools and their applications.





RCCA Plan Guidance



- The RCCA Plan must address the Root Cause(s) of a detected nonconformity, including actions for implementation.
- The plan must reference any changes to policies, procedures, or work instructions, as well as affected supporting documents.
- Each task must identify objective evidence that supports task completion and should identify the following: *Who, What, When, and How.*
- The RCCA Plan should include criteria that will be used to verify that Corrective Action tasks have been implemented and objective evidence that supports implementation.



RCCA Plan Guidance

- The RCCA Plan will include tasks that address identified Root Cause and does not repeat items identified in the IA plan.
- Root Cause Correction MUST be focused on long-term corrective action – NOT a "quick fix".
- The plan must reference any changes to policies, procedures, or work instructions, as well as affected supporting documents, that address the identified cause.
- Each task identifies objective evidence to support task completion.





RCCA Plan Unacceptable



1. The RCCA Plan is not complete nor concise and it should address the *Who, What, When* and How elements to ensure that the necessary and appropriate actions are taken in a timely manner.



RCCA Plan Acceptable



- 1. RCCA Plan Task(s) are complete and concise and should identify the *Who, What, When and How* for all the actions taken.
- RCCA Plan Implementation Date reflects the effective date that all of the RCCA Plan Tasks will be completed.
- 3. Verification of Implementation Date is the short-term verification for plan effectiveness (this is usually 1 to 6 months from the date of RCCA Plan Implementation completion).



Verification of RCCA Implementation

Documents such as planning, drawing changes, training records and purchase order changes are often used as verification of implementation.

Physical changes such as tool revisions, facility upgrades (lighting, floor mats, etc.), shadow boards or machine repairs are also common verifications.





This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

Verification of RCCA Implementation

(CA Response Example – RCCA Plan)

Ta	sk Description (add more lines if nece	essary)	Type of Evidence	Responsible	Due Date
1	Remove rev levels from proc sheet	Revs removed	Click to		
2	Revision levels were remove summary sheet	Verified revisionswere removed	Unacceptable		
3			EX	ampic	
4				Click to enter date.	
R	CCA Implementation Date	Verification of Implementation Date 30-Aug-13		30-Aug-13	

It is imperative that adequate detail be provided in the RCCA Plan Task Descriptions. Each task must define the corrective or preventative action that is to be performed.

It is a best practice to include verification criteria for each action in the Task Description. This will ensure that sufficient objective evidence is provided.



Verification of RCCA Implementation (CA Response Example – RCCA Plan)

Root Cause Statement								
Ineffective contract review process implemented. Contract review procedures do not adequately describe effective PO review process. Vendor unable to properly execute de-bur criteria and receiving inspection magnification level unable to detect small burs.								
Ta	ask Description (add more lines if nece	issary)	Type of Evidence	Responsible	Due Date			
1	Quality, with Sales, initiated ro for Purchase Contract OP-80	ewriting Procedure 0.11 5/23/15	OP-800.11 updated. ECS September 24 2015 Quality to verify procedure.		(
2	Quality and Sales establish V Customer Review. This com top customers will be reviewe the Quality Management Revi out August 2015.	oice of the prehensive view of d semiannually at iew (QMR). Roll	Customer satisfaction scorecard reviewed semiannually during Quality Management Review (example attached)		ceptable ample			
3	Shipping department now staging paperwork to prevent any issues with any one page being separated from the package. 6/5/15		Quality will review shipping files					
4	Vendor is single source manufacture of the case and lids. We will 100% inspect, and rework as necessary, all parts received until second supplier is qualified, for the program, to manufacture the cases and lids. ECD 2/15/16		Receiving inspection plan updated with 10X magnification- June 6, 2015		r date.			
R	RCCA Implementation Date 24-Sep-15		Verification of Implementation Date 00		09-Oct-15			

Supplier's CA response RCCA Plan section contains:

 Verification actions have been identified along with objective evidence artifact information (best practice would be to have the verification actions identified in the Task Description field)

Verification of Effectiveness Plan

Supplier Verification of Effectiveness Plan must include:

- Identified Measures of Effectiveness (MoE) to confirm whether implemented actions produced the intended results.
- The date when the Corrective Action plan will be verified by the supplier as complete and effective.
- The definition of Measure of Effectiveness is: "The criteria and method(s) used to conduct verification of effectiveness."

<u>Note</u>: The supplier-stated MoE must verify that the RCCA Plan continues to be effective in the long term. The response may include as many MoE's as necessary to document that actions produced intended results.



Measure of Effectiveness

The purpose of the MoE described in the RCCA Plan, is to verify the Root Cause Corrective Action was effective in precluding recurrence of the nonconformity.

This is accomplished by obtaining objective evidence such as quality records, audit results, data analysis, nonconformity history, and process yield.

<u>Note</u>: More than one MoE may be necessary.

MoE Closes the loop on the RCCA Process and is NOT optional.





This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of STELIA Aerospace. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace.

MoE Unacceptable



- 1. Measure of Effectiveness Plan Tasks are not complete or concise. It should identify the *Who, What, When and How* for all the actions required to validate and verify the plan's completion and effectiveness with respect to the Statement of Nonconformity.
- Measure of Effectiveness Date does not allow for sufficient time to elapse (usually 6 to 12 months) to establish a stable and repeatable process.



MoE Acceptable



- Measure of Effectiveness Plan Task(s) are complete and concise and identifies the Who, What, When and How for all required actions to validate and verify plan completion and effectiveness.
- Measure of Effectiveness Date represents sufficient time that should elapse (usually 6 to 12 months) to establish a stable and repeatable process if positive results are achieved.



Verification of Effectiveness

Verification of Effectiveness closes the loop in the RCCA process to ensure the problem was removed or mitigated.

If the Verification of Effectiveness results indicate that Corrective Action has been successful, there are still a few loose ends to tie up:

- Survey the customer
- Complete the documentation
- Close the record
- Communicate and share the results
- And celebrate your success!





Lesson 3 Summary

In this lesson you learned to:

- Identify and define the Root Cause.
- Develop the Root Cause Corrective Action plan.
- Verify implementation of the plan.
- Verify effectiveness utilizing the defined MoE.

PCA Level / Section				Response Requirements	Examples:	
Root Cause Corrective Action	Immediate Action	ate Correction	Notification of Nonconformity	Problem Definition and Statement of Nonconformity	<i>"Is" and "Should be" conditions for product Dimensional data, specification data, negative/unfulfilled of a requirement, etc</i>	
		Immedi		Containment (identify scope and prevent further escape) Correction (Nonconformity Product and/or Process Disposition)	Line/Stock check (reinspection), additional inspections, Notice of Escape to address other/similar product Rejected unit(s)/requirement that has been corrected/addressed	
				Identification of Direct Cause Immediate Action Plan to address Direct Cause	The first "why" in a 5-why analysis C orrection of an issue in a process/operation that caused the defect to occur	
				Root Cause Analysis Corrective Action Plan Development Corrective Plan Implementation Verification of Implementation Identification of Measures of Effectiveness	The fifth "why(s)" in a 5-why analysis (no practical "why" follows it) Addressing the cause(s) that led to an issue in a process/operation 5-why analysis, KNOT chart, brainstorming, fishbone, pareto charts	
				Verification of Effectiveness	Receiving inspection checks, in-process checks, data reviews	

reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of STELIA Aerospace

Supplier Corrective Action Response Review

Training Summary

In this training, you learned

- How to formulate an Immediate Correction plan to contain and correct the nonconformity, as well as communicate awareness
- How to identify the Direct Cause and develop an Immediate Action plan to prevent it from recurring
- How to identify Root Cause Analysis (RCA) tools and formulate the Root Cause Statement
- How to develop a Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA) Plan and implement it to mitigate the identified Root Cause
- How to verify the plan was implemented and effective



- Apparent Cause
 - The event or action that immediately results in or precedes the nonconformity. May also be called obvious cause, direct cause, or immediate cause.
- Communication
 - Addressing how all affected parties have been notified of suspect conditions and products across the organization to ensure "like" items and "like" conditions do not impact other Programs or Operational areas.
- Containment
 - Action taken to determine the magnitude of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation, and minimize the impact, and prevent growth. Examples of containment include, but are not limited to, line or stock checks and requests for re-inspection. Complete containment addresses Correction, Containment and Communication.
- Contributing Causes
 - Causes that taken alone would not cause the problem but can increase the risk of the issue to occur. Analysis of these causes generally requires taking small steps (or a finer look) to identify and fix the problem.



Correction

- Actions taken to correct detected nonconformities. This may involve reworking or scrapping and replacing the product or correcting paperwork.
- Corrective Action (CA)
 - Action to eliminate or mitigate the cause(s) of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation to prevent recurrence.
- Corrective Action Plan
 - A plan that documents the root cause(s) of a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation, a solution to eliminate or mitigate the cause(s), a schedule of actions for implementation, and measures of effectiveness (MoE).
- Direct Cause(s)
 - Event(s), action(s) or condition(s) that directly result in a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation that, if eliminated or mitigated, would prevent occurrence.
- Immediate Action
 - A type of corrective action taken to address direct cause(s).
 Note: The immediate action plan includes a verification plan.



- Immediate Correction
 - Actions taken to fix the nonconformity, including containment of all nonconformities associated with the cause(s), and communication actions to all affected parties.
- Measure of Effectiveness (MoE)
 - The criteria and method(s) used to verify the root cause corrective action was effective in precluding recurrence of the nonconformity. This is accomplished by obtaining objective evidence during verification of effectiveness.
- Notification of Nonconformity (NN)
 - A notification of nonconforming product when formal corrective action response to SNA is not required. Internal corrective action by supplier is recommended.
- Notification of Escapement (NoE)
 - External supplier disclosure/notification to SNA of non-conforming or suspected nonconforming product to purchase contract requirements that has already been delivered to SNA, our suppliers and/or SNA's customers.
- Nonconformity
 - Non-fulfillment of a requirement. Note: It may be a non-conforming product but also incorrect paperwork, or incorrect process (production or QMS (Quality Management System) related).



- Objective Evidence
 - Data supporting the existence or verity (actuality) of something. Note: You can
 obtain objective evidence through observation, measurement, testing, or other
 means.
- Recurrence
 - To occur again after an interval.
- Root Cause Analysis (RCA)
 - The process of identifying the root cause(s) of a detected or potential nonconformity or other undesirable situation using one or more RCA methods or tools (for example, cause and effect diagram, 5 whys analysis, fault tree).
- Root Cause Corrective Action (RCCA)
 - A type of corrective action (CA) that requires root cause analysis to be performed and action taken to address root cause(s). Note: RCCA includes a verification plan.
- Root Cause(s)
 - The initiating event(s), action(s) or condition(s) in a chain of causes that lead to a detected or potential nonconformity or other undesirable situation. Root causes have no practical preceding related events, actions, or conditions.



- Verification of Implementation
 - An element of supplier corrective action that provides evidence the corrective action(s) were implemented as planned.
- Verification of Effectiveness
 - Use of the Measures of Effectiveness (MoE) defined in a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to confirm that implemented actions have prevented a detected nonconformity or other undesirable situation from recurring. Can be performed by Supplier and by SNA.
- Statement of Nonconformity
 - The documented non-conformance description which clearly identifies and locates the conditions departing from a specific requirement. Also known as a Problem Statement, the statement of nonconformity should address the "IS" and "SHOULD BE" condition(s).

